



# European Journal of Educational Research

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## Review Form

**Manuscript ID:** EU-JER\_ID# **Date:** 19 February 2020

**Manuscript Title:**

ABOUT MANUSCRIPT (Mark with "X" one of the options)	Accept	Weak	Refuse	Not Available
Language is clear and correct		X		
Literature is well written	X			
References are cited as directed by APA		X		
The research topic is significant to the field	X			
The article is complete, well organized and clearly written		X		
Research design and method is appropriate	X			
Analyses are appropriate to the research question	X			
Results are clearly presented	X			
A reasonable discussion of the results is presented		X		
Conclusions are clearly stated	X			
Recommendations are clearly stated		X		

### GENERAL REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE AUTHOR

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhardjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out. (Edit this sentence)

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention. (Edit the red text)

According to Sumartini mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). (Edit the red text)

The Manuscript concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation, but it needs more discussion about correlation of ECIRR learning model and increasing of students' learning motivation.

It needs more deeply discussions about correlation of ECIRR models and Reasoning ability, ECIRR models and Learning motivations, also correlation of Learning motivation and Reasoning ability.

The Languages especially the sentences and grammar need to be improved

[A1] Need to explain the stages and instruments used

[A2] See Table 1. The addition of writing to "A2" in Conventional Learning.

[A3] There is no information about the research sample, need more complete explanation about the research sample (how to determine, amount, time and etc).

[A4]: Use Statement/The researcher conclusions before quoting the statement of the other party.



# European Journal of Educational Research

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## THE DECISION (Mark with "X" one of the options)

Accepted: Correction not required	
Accepted: Minor correction required	
Conditionally Accepted: Major Correction Required ( <a href="#">Need second review after corrections</a> )	X
Refused	

Reviewer Code: R2612 (The name of referee is hidden because of blind review)

# **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation**

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasi experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation.

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation.

## **Introduction**

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the

phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani, Herliantari, Irwandani, Saregar, & Umam, 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri Wulandari, Mujib, 2016). According to the results of research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (A. P. Kusuma, 2017). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model, motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016). If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities. Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, Irwandani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrin & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, n.d.; Supriadi et al., 2018).

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhandjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, n.d.; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student

motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiyuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with renewal, that is, seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.

Methodology

Research Design

Comment [A1]: need to be explained more comprehensively

This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

Table 1. Research design

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )		
	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

Comment [A2]: ( $A_2$ )

Research Sample

Comment [A3]: need more complete explanation about the research sample

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlett Test.

### Findings / Results

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests

Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central			Size of Group	
			Tendency			Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2, the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Description of Observation Observation Questionnaire Data

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3.the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANAVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Class	$L_{count}$	$L_{table}$
Experiment	0.139	0.159
Control	0.112	0.159
High motivation	0.200	0.261

Normally motivation	0.121	0.138
Low motivation	0.178	0.261

Based on Table 4. In the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah, Romadhona, et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtainedn  $L_{count} 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{table} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test tlett on test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ .The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control class get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah, Huda, et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 5.

Table 5. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
--------	----	----	----	-------------	-------------



Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schuffee method '. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah, et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019).

Table 6. Calculation of the Double Column Comparison Test

No	H <sub>0</sub>	F <sub>count</sub>	F <sub>table</sub>	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 6:

- a) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 6, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation (Sriyakul et al., 2019) towards mathematical reasoning ability.

**Comment [A4]:** The researcher conclusions before quoting the statement of the other party

- b) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1$  vs  $\mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- c) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2$  vs  $\mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

## Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to Sumartini mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi,

&Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by Apriyani, revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Effendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, Kusuma explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani, Umam, Abdurrahman, & Syazali, 2019) and learning outcomes (N. M. Y. Kusuma, Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, 2014).

## **Conclusion**

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning

abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level Scaffolding : A Novel Approach of Physics Teacher Development Program for Promoting Content Knowledge Mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh Motivasi Belajar Ditinjau Dari Jenis Kelamin Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis Mahasiswa. *Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). *Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Reflektif Matematis Siswa* (B.S. thesis). Jakarta: FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh Inquiry Learning Dan Problem Based Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar PKKR Ditinjau dari Motivasi Belajar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran ECIRR Berbantuan Information and Communication Technology (ICT) terhadap Prestasi Belajar Fisika Siswa Kelas X MIPA. *SKRIPSI Jurusan Fisika - Fakultas MIPA UM*, 0(0).
- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan Metode Pembelajaran Kooperatif Model STAD Ditinjau dari Prestasi dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa di Kelas VIII SMP. *PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan Program Geogebra dan Casyopee dalam Pembelajaran Geometri Ditinjau dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its Impact on the Students' Creative Problem-Solving Ability on the Concept of Substance Pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics Learning through Active Learning Based Interactive Conceptual Instructions (ALBICI) to Improve Critical Thinking Ability. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhardjito, & H, S. K. (2017). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran ECIRR Terhadap Penguasaan Konsep Fisika Pada Siswa SMK. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (n.d.). Keefektifan PBL Dan IBL Ditinjau dari Prestasi Belajar, Kemampuan Representasi Matematis, dan Motivasi Belajar. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah

(Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.

- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor Determinants of Teacher Professionalism as Development of Student Learning Education at School of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermsttiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-Prompting Based on Ethnomathematics Learning Model : The Effect on Mathematical Communication Skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning Model to Improve The Ability to Understand Mathematical Concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan Penalaran Matematis dan Habits Of Mind Siswa SMP dalam Materi Segiempat dan Segitiga. *Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>
- Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 135–144.
- Kusuma, N. M. Y., Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, S. P., & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, S. (2014). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Elicit Confront Identify Resolve Reinforce (Ecirr) Berbantuan Media Audiovisual Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas Iv Sd Gugus Singakerta Tahun Ajaran 2013/2014. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1).
- Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative Learning Application with the Method of Network Tree Concept Map : Based on Japanese Learning System Approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA Dengan Pendekatan Saintifik Menggunakan Model Learning Cycle dan Discovery Learning Ditinjau Dari Aktivitas Dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa Terhadap Prestasi Belajar. *JPFK*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Meriyana, Tandiyuk, M. B., & Paloloang, B. (2016). Profil Berpikir Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Aljabar Berpandu Pada Taksonomi SOLO Ditinjau Dari Tingkat Motivasi Belajar Matematik. *Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The Use of Management Strategies to Attract the Public ' s Interest in Pesantren : A New Model for Pesantren Dynamics Study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to Manage Numerical Abilities in Algebra Material? *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management System of Education : Conceptual Similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese Learning System and Islamic Learning System in Indonesia. *Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu*

*Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>

- Nopitasari, D. (n.d.). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Creative Problem Solving (Cps) Terhadap Kemampuan Penalaran Adaptif Matematis Siswa. *M a T H L I N E: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*.
- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic Achievement And Conceptual Understanding Of Electrodynamics: Applications Geoelectric Using Cooperative Learning Model. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Investigasi Kelompok berbantuan Perangkat Lunak Maple terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the Effectiveness of Learning in the Use of Learning Modules Against Student Learning Outcomes. *Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem-Based Learning , Its Usability and Critical View as Educational Learning Tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The Effect Of Flipped-Problem Based Learning Model Integrated With LMS-Google Classroom For Senior High School Students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2).
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The Effectiveness of STEM-Based on GenderDifferences: The Impact of PhysicsConcept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2).
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan Kausal Penalaran Matematis terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika pada Materi Bangun Ruang Sisi Datar ditinjau dari Motivasi Belajar Matematika Siswa. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi Media Simulasi Virtual pada Model Pembelajaran ECIRR untuk Meremediasi Miskonsepsi Siswa pada Materi Perubahan Wujud Zat. *Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>
- Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan Metode Penemuan Terbimbing dan Metode Pemberian Tugas pada Pembelajaran Bangun Ruang Sisi Lengkung. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.
- Sriyakul, T., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Development, T., Chi, H., City, M., ... City, M. (2019). Internal Supply Chain Integration And Operational Performance Of Indonesian

Fashion Industry Firms : A Supplier to Buyer Approach. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(2), 479–486. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7256>

- Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.
- Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The Utilization of Project Based Learning and Guided Discovery Learning: Effective Methods to Improve Students' Mathematics Ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.
- Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe STAD (Student Teams Achievement Divisions) Dan Jigsaw Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Ekonomi Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa Tahun Ajaran 2014/2015. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.
- Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan Kemampuan Penalaran Logis dan Komunikasi Matematis Melalui Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Think Pair Share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3).



# European Journal of Educational Research

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## Review Form

<b>Manuscript ID:</b>	EU-JER_ID#2001160411	<b>Date:</b>	..... 2020		
<b>Manuscript Title:</b>	The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation				
<b>ABOUT MANUSCRIPT</b> (Mark with "X" one of the options)		<b>Accept</b>	<b>Weak</b>	<b>Refuse</b>	<b>Not Available</b>
Language is clear and correct		X			
Literature is well written		X			
References are cited as directed by APA			X		
The research topic is significant to the field		X			
The article is complete, well organized and clearly written			X		
Research design and method is appropriate			X		
Analyses are appropriate to the research question			X		
Results are clearly presented			X		
A reasonable discussion of the results is presented		X			
Conclusions are clearly stated		X			
Recommendations are clearly stated		X			
<b>GENERAL REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE AUTHOR</b>					
<p>After I read this journal, there are some things that need to be repaired</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Look for more recent journals</li> <li>Consistent in the use of referral, for example in the use of et. al or dkk</li> <li>What is contained in the method?</li> <li>Who is the sample and population?</li> <li>clarified the sample population</li> <li>less obvious analytical technique used</li> </ul> <p>Position yourself as a reader. Adjust to the template, what examples are contained in the method. The results adjust to the hypothesis used. Use graphics to explain results.</p> <p>My major difficulty in reviewing this manuscript, that turned into the major concern about its relevance to the EJER, dealt with the references listed. Almost ¾ of the references are from journals published in languages other than English - the language of EJER. Even the titles of those papers were not translated into English by the author. For example, the first two references (Sari, 2014; Sumartini, 2018) in support of major initial statements by the author belong to those publications. As a reviewer, I was unable to verify the accuracy of the statements in terms of their relation to the literature sources. I noticed that several references include the word FISKA (e.g., Astamega, 2018; Effendi et al., 2017). But FISKA is not mathematics, as I understand.</p>					
<b>THE DECISION (Mark with "X" one of the options)</b>					
<b>Accepted:</b> Correction not required					
<b>Accepted:</b> Minor correction required					
<b>Conditionally Accepted:</b> Major Correction Required					X
<b>Refused</b>					
<b>Reviewer Code: R2614 (The name of referee is hidden because of blind review)</b>					



# **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation**

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasy experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation.

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation.

## **Introduction**

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the

phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani, Herliantari, Irwandani, Saregar, & Umam, 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri Wulandari, Mujib, 2016). According to the results of research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (A. P. Kusuma, 2017). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model, motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016) If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities. Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, Irwandani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrin & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, n.d.; Supriadi et al., 2018).

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhandjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, n.d.; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student

motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiyuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with renewal, that is, seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.

## Methodology

### *Research Design*

This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

Table 1. Research design

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )		
	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

### *Research Sample*

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlett Test.

## Findings / Results

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests

Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central			Size of Group	
			Tendency			Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2. the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Description of Observation Observation Questionnaire Data

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3. the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANAVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Class	$L_{count}$	$L_{table}$
Experiment	0.139	0.159
Control	0.112	0.159
High motivation	0.200	0.261

Normally motivation	0.121	0.138
Low motivation	0.178	0.261

Based on Table 4. In the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah, Romadhona, et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} = 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{count} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test tlett on test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ . The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control class get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah, Huda, et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 5.

Narrowed down, use pictures or graphics to explain the results

Table 5. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
--------	----	----	----	-------------	-------------

Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schuffee method'. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah, et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019).

Table 6. Calculation of the Double Column Comparison Test

No	H <sub>0</sub>	F <sub>count</sub>	F <sub>table</sub>	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 6:

- a) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 6, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation (Sriyakul et al., 2019) towards mathematical reasoning ability.

- b) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1$  vs  $\mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- c) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2$  vs  $\mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

## Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to Sumartini mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi, &



Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by Apriyani, revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Effendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, Kusuma explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani, Umam, Abdurrahman, & Syazali, 2019) and learning outcomes (N. M. Y. Kusuma, Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, 2014).

## **Conclusion**

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning

abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level Scaffolding : A Novel Approach of Physics Teacher Development Program for Promoting Content Knowledge Mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh Motivasi Belajar Ditinjau Dari Jenis Kelamin Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis Mahasiswa. *Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Reflektif Matematis Siswa (B.S. thesis). Jakarta: FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh Inquiry Learning Dan Problem Based Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar PKKR Ditinjau dari Motivasi Belajar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran ECIRR Berbantuan Information and Communication Technology (ICT) terhadap Prestasi Belajar Fisika Siswa Kelas X MIPA. *SKRIPSI Jurusan Fisika - Fakultas MIPA UM*, 0(0).
- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan Metode Pembelajaran Kooperatif Model STAD Ditinjau dari Prestasi dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa di Kelas VIII SMP. *PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan Program Geogebra dan Casyopee dalam Pembelajaran Geometri Ditinjau dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its Impact on the Students' Creative Problem-Solving Ability on the Concept of Substance Pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics Learning through Active Learning Based Interactive Conceptual Instructions (ALBICI) to Improve Critical Thinking Ability. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhandjito, & H, S. K. (2017). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran ECIRR Terhadap Penguasaan Konsep Fisika Pada Siswa SMK. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (n.d.). Keefektifan PBL Dan IBL Ditinjau dari Prestasi Belajar, Kemampuan Representasi Matematis, dan Motivasi Belajar. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah

- (Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor Determinants of Teacher Professionalism as Development of Student Learning Education at School of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-Prompting Based on Ethnomathematics Learning Model : The Effect on Mathematical Communication Skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning Model to Improve The Ability to Understand Mathematical Concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan Penalaran Matematis dan Habits Of Mind Siswa SMP dalam Materi Segiempat dan Segitiga. *Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>
- Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 135–144.
- Kusuma, N. M. Y., Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, S. P., & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, S. (2014). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Elicit Confront Identify Resolve Reinforce (Ecirr) Berbantuan Media Audiovisual Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas Iv Sd Gugus Singakerta Tahun Ajaran 2013/2014. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1).
- Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative Learning Application with the Method of Network Tree Concept Map : Based on Japanese Learning System Approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA Dengan Pendekatan Saintifik Menggunakan Model Learning Cycle dan Discovery Learning Ditinjau Dari Aktivitas Dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa Terhadap Prestasi Belajar. *JPFK*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Meriyana, Tandiyuk, M. B., & Paloloang, B. (2016). Profil Berpikir Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Aljabar Berpandu Pada Taksonomi SOLO Ditinjau Dari Tingkat Motivasi Belajar Matematik. *Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The Use of Management Strategies to Attract the Public ' s Interest in Pesantren : A New Model for Pesantren Dynamics Study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to Manage Numerical Abilities in Algebra Material? *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management System of Education : Conceptual Similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese Learning System and Islamic Learning System in Indonesia. *Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu*

- Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>
- Nopitasari, D. (n.d.). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Creative Problem Solving (Cps) Terhadap Kemampuan Penalaran Adaptif Matematis Siswa. *M a T H L I N E: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*.
- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic Achievement And Conceptual Understanding Of Electrodynamics: Applications Geoelectric Using Cooperative Learning Model. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Investigasi Kelompok berbantuan Perangkat Lunak Maple terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the Effectiveness of Learning in the Use of Learning Modules Against Student Learning Outcomes. *Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem-Based Learning , Its Usability and Critical View as Educational Learning Tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The Effect Of Flipped-Problem Based Learning Model Integrated With LMS-Google Classroom For Senior High School Students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2).
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The Effectiveness of STEM-Based on GenderDifferences: The Impact of PhysicsConcept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2).
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan Kausal Penalaran Matematis terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika pada Materi Bangun Ruang Sisi Datar ditinjau dari Motivasi Belajar Matematika Siswa. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi Media Simulasi Virtual pada Model Pembelajaran ECIRR untuk Meremediasi Miskonsepsi Siswa pada Materi Perubahan Wujud Zat. *Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>
- Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan Metode Penemuan Terbimbing dan Metode Pemberian Tugas pada Pembelajaran Bangun Ruang Sisi Lengkung. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.
- Sriyakul, T., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Development, T., Chi, H., City, M., ... City, M. (2019). Internal Supply Chain Integration And Operational Performance Of Indonesian

Fashion Industry Firms : A Supplier to Buyer Approach. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(2), 479–486. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7256>

Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.

Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The Utilization of Project Based Learning and Guided Discovery Learning: Effective Methods to Improve Students' Mathematics Ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.

Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe STAD (Student Teams Achievement Divisions) Dan Jigsaw Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Ekonomi Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa Tahun Ajaran 2014/2015. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.

Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan Kemampuan Penalaran Logis dan Komunikasi Matematis Melalui Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Think Pair Share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3).



# European Journal of Educational Research

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## Review Form

<b>Manuscript ID:</b>	EU-JER_ID# 2001160411	<b>Date:</b> February, 24, 2020
-----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

<b>Manuscript Title:</b>	<b>The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation</b>
--------------------------	---

<b>ABOUT MANUSCRIPT</b> (Mark with "X" one of the options)	<b>Accept</b>	<b>Weak</b>	<b>Refuse</b>	<b>Not Available</b>
Language is clear and correct		X		
Literature is well written			X	
References are cited as directed by APA			X	
The research topic is significant to the field		X		
The article is complete, well organized and clearly written		X		
Research design and method is appropriate	X			
Analyses are appropriate to the research question	X			
Results are clearly presented		X		
A reasonable discussion of the results is presented		X		
Conclusions are clearly stated	X			
Recommendations are clearly stated	X			

### GENERAL REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE AUTHOR

1. The use of conjunction at the beginning of a sentence.
2. Poor literature.
3. Inconsistent written on references.
4. Population and sample of the research are not clear.
5. It missed in-depth discussion.

### THE DECISION (Mark with "X" one of the options)

<b>Accepted:</b> Correction not required	
<b>Accepted:</b> Minor correction required	
<b>Conditionally Accepted:</b> Major Correction Required (Need second review after corrections)	X
<b>Refused</b>	

**Reviewer Code: R2615 (The name of referee is hidden because of blind review)**

# **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation**

## **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model**

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasy experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation.(150-200 words).

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation. (3 to 5 keywords)

### **Introduction**

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani, Herliantari, Irwandani, Saregar, & Umam, 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri Wulandari, Mujib, 2016). According to the results of research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (A. P. Kusuma, 2017). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model, motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016) If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities. Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, Irwandani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrin & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, n.d.; Supriadi et al., 2018).





Figure 1. The results of Motivation (external and internal) [Source of picture :

<https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhardjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, n.d.; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiayuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with renewal, that is, seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.

## Methodology

### *Research Design*

This research was a quasi-experimental study using a 2 x 2 factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test. This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

Table 1. Research design

---

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )
---------------------	-------------------------------

	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning ( $A_2$ )	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

### *Research Sample*

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlet Test.

Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge. A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.

Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANAVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models

(ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts.

## Findings / Results

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests

Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central			Size of Group	
			Tendency			Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2. the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Description of Observation Observation Questionnaire Data

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3. the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANAVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Based on Figure 2, the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah, Romadhona, et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtainedn  $L_{count} 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{table} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test t on test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ . The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control

class get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah, Huda, et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schuffee method '. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah, et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019).

Table 5. Calculation of the Double Column Comparison Test

No	$H_0$	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	$H_0$ rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	$H_0$ rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	$H_0$ accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 5:

- a) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 5, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. **This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability.**
- b) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- c) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

## Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to Sumartini mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research



conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi, & Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by Apriyani, revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Effendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, Kusuma explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani, Umam, Abdurrahman, & Syazali, 2019) and learning outcomes (N. M. Y. Kusuma, Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, 2014).

## **Conclusion**

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an

influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level Scaffolding : A Novel Approach of Physics Teacher Development Program for Promoting Content Knowledge Mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh motivasi belajar ditinjau dari jenis kelamin terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis mahasiswa [The effect of learning motivation in terms of gender on students' mathematical critical thinking abilities]. *Scientific Journal Siliwangi STKIP / Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR (*elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce*) terhadap kemampuan berpikir reflektif matematis siswa [The influence of ECIRR learning models (*elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce*) on students' mathematical reflective thinking skills] (B.S. thesis). Jakarta: FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh inquiry learning dan problem based learning terhadap hasil belajar PKKR ditinjau dari motivasi belajar [The effect of inquiry learning and problem based learning on PKKR learning outcomes in terms of learning motivation]. *Journal of Vocational Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR berbantuan information and communication technology (ICT) terhadap prestasi belajar fisika siswa kelas X MIPA [The influence of ECIRR learning models assisted by information and communication technology (ICT) on the physics learning achievement of students of Class X MIPA]. SKRIPSI Physics Department - Faculty of Mathematics and Natural

- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan metode pembelajaran kooperatif model stad ditinjau dari prestasi dan motivasi belajar siswa di kelas VIII SMP]. *THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE COOPERATIVE LEARNING METHOD STAD MODEL IN TERMS OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND MOTIVATION IN CLASS VIII SMP*. *PYTHAGORAS: Journal of Mathematics Education / PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan program geogebra dan casyopee dalam pembelajaran geometri ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa[The use of geogebra and casyopee programs in learning geometry in terms of student motivation]. *Mercumatika Journal / Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its Impact on the Students' Creative Problem-Solving Ability on the Concept of Substance Pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics Learning through Active Learning Based Interactive Conceptual Instructions (ALBICI) to Improve Critical Thinking Ability. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research and Learning / Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhardjito, & H, S. K. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR terhadap penguasaan konsep fisika pada siswa SMK[The influence of ECIRR learning models on the mastery of physics concepts in vocational students]. *Journal of Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (n.d.). Keefektifan PBL dan IBL ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan representasi matematis, dan motivasi belajar[The effectiveness of PBL and IBL in terms of learning achievement, mathematical representation ability, and learning motivation]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research / Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM: Scientific Journal of Mathematics Education / JIPM : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor Determinants of Teacher Professionalism as Development of Student Learning Education at School of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-Prompting Based on Ethnomathematics Learning Model : The Effect on Mathematical Communication Skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning Model to Improve The Ability to Understand Mathematical Concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan penalaran matematis dan habits of mind siswa smp dalam

- materi segiempat dan segitiga[Mathematical reasoning abilities and habits of mind of junior high school students in terms of rectangles and triangles]. *Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>
- Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 135–144.
- Kusuma, N. M. Y., Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, S. P., & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, S. (2014). Pengaruh model pembelajaran elicit confront identify resolve reinforce (ECIRR) berbantuan media audiovisual terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas IV SD gugus singakerta tahun ajaran 2013/2014[The influence of elicit confront identify learning model resolving reinforce (ECIRR) assisted by audiovisual media on the mathematics learning outcomes of fourth grade elementary school students in the academic group 2013/2014]. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1).
- Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative Learning Application with the Method of Network Tree Concept Map : Based on Japanese Learning System Approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA dengan pendekatan saintifik menggunakan model learning cycle dan discovery learning ditinjau dari aktivitas dan motivasi belajar siswa terhadap prestasi belajar[Science learning with a scientific approach using the learning cycle model and discovery learning in terms of student learning activities and motivation towards learning achievement]. *JPFK*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Meriyana, tandiayuk, m. b., & paloloang, b. (2016). Profil berpikir siswa kelas viii smp dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita aljabar berpandu padataksonomi solo ditinjau dari tingkat motivasi belajar matematik[The profile of students thinking in the junior high school in solving solo algebraic story questions in the context of solo economics in terms of the level of mathematical learning motivation]. *Axiom: Journal of Mathematics Education / Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The Use of Management Strategies to Attract the Public ' s Interest in Pesantren : A New Model for Pesantren Dynamics Study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to Manage Numerical Abilities in Algebra Material? *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management System of Education : Conceptual Similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese Learning System and Islamic Learning System in Indonesia. *Tadris Journal of Teacher Training and Tarbiyah Science / Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>
- Nopitasari, D. (n.d.). Pengaruh Model pembelajaran creative problem solving (cps) terhadap kemampuan penalaran adaptif matematis siswa[Creative problem solving (cps) learning model on students' mathematical adaptive reasoning abilities]. *M a T H L I N E: Jurnal*

- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic achievement and conceptual understanding of electrodynamics: applications geoelectric using cooperative learning model. *Al-Biruni Physics Scientific Journal of Education / Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh model pembelajaran investigasi kelompok berbantuan perangkat lunak maple terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis [The influence of learning models on maple-assisted group investigation on mathematical problem-solving abilities]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the effectiveness of learning in the use of learning modules against student learning outcomes. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics / Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem based learning , its usability and critical view as educational learning tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The effect of flipped-problem based learning model integrated with lms-google classroom for senior high school students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas [Improve reasoning abilities and mathematics achievement with constructivism approach to high school students]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 111–128.
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The effectiveness of stem-based on gender differences: the impact of physics concept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh pendekatan pembelajaran berbasis masalah terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika siswa kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang tahun pelajaran 2013/2014 [The effect of the problem-based learning approach on the mathematical problem solving ability of students of class VIII of SMP Negeri 1 Padang in the academic year 2013/2014]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2), 65–71.
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan kausal penalaran matematis terhadap prestasi belajar matematika pada materi bangun ruang sisi datar ditinjau dari motivasi belajar matematika siswa [The causal relationship of mathematical reasoning to mathematics learning achievement in flat side space building material in terms of students' mathematics learning motivation]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi media simulasi virtual pada model pembelajaran ecirr untuk meremediasi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi perubahan wujud zat [Application of virtual simulation media on the ecirr learning model to

remediate student misconceptions on material changes in substance]. *SNFA Proceedings (National Seminar of Physics and Its Applications) / Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>

Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan metode penemuan terbimbing dan metode pemberian tugas pada pembelajaran bangun ruang sisi lengkung[The effectiveness of the guided discovery method and the assignment method in learning to build curved side space]. *Journal of Mathematics and Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.

Sriyakul, T., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Development, T., Chi, H., City, M., ... City, M. (2019). Internal supply chain integration and operational performance of indonesian fashion industry firms: a supplier to buyer approach. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(2), 479–486. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7256>

Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah[Improving students' mathematical reasoning abilities through problem based learning]. *Mosharafa: Journal of Mathematics Education / Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.

Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The utilization of project based learning and guided discovery learning: effective methods to improve students' mathematics ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.

Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran kooperatif tipe stad (student teams achievement divisions) dan jigsaw terhadap prestasi belajar ekonomi ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa tahun ajaran 2014/2015[The effect of cooperative learning type STAD (student teams achievement divisions) and jigsaw against economic learning achievement judging from student learning motivation for 2014/2015 Academic Year]. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.

Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran logis dan komunikasi matematis melalui model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe think pair share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan[Improving logical reasoning and mathematical communication capabilities through think pair share (TPS) cooperative learning models in SMP Negeri 24 Medan]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Paradikma / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3), 1-9.

## Appendix

[Source of website : <https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>]

CORRECTION REPORT			
No	Reviewer Code	Reviews	Corrections made by the author
1	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2612-1	need to be explained more comprehensively	<p>This research was a quasi-experimental study using a 2 x 2 factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test</p> <p>Conventional Learning (<math>A_2</math>)</p>
2	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2612-1	(A2)	

3	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2612-1	need more complete explanation about the research sample	<p>Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge. A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.</p> <p>Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANAVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models (ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts</p>
4	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2612-1	The researcher conclusions before quoting the statement of the other party	<p>This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability</p>
5	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2614	Narrowed down, use pictures or graphics to explain the results	<p>Figure 2. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results</p>



6	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2614	Shortened.Do not be so clear. Make yourself a reader. How to make this journal contents can make the reader curious.	
7	EUJER_ID_2001160411_R2614	references	Already fix
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			

13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			

34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			

41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			

48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			

55			
----	--	--	--





## Review Form

**Manuscript ID:** EU-JER\_ID# 2001160411 **Date:** 09 March 2020

**Manuscript Title:** The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation

ABOUT MANUSCRIPT (Mark with "X" one of the options)	Accept	Weak	Refuse	Not Available
Language is clear and correct	X			
Literature is well written	X			
References are cited as directed by APA	X			
The research topic is significant to the field	X			
The article is complete, well organized and clearly written		X		
Research design and method is appropriate	X			
Analyses are appropriate to the research question	X			
Results are clearly presented	X			
A reasonable discussion of the results is presented	X			
Conclusions are clearly stated	X			
Recommendations are clearly stated	X			

### GENERAL REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE AUTHOR

This article needs a little additional information accordingly

1. Need a brief description of population and sample in the abstract section
2. Place information about populations and samples in appropriate subtitles
3. Show student work snippets / papers



# European Journal of Educational Research

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## THE DECISION (Mark with "X" one of the options)

Accepted: Correction not required	
Accepted: Minor correction required	X
Conditionally Accepted: Major Correction Required(Need second review after corrections)	
Refused	

Reviewer Code: R2611 (The name of referee is hidden because of blind review)

# **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation**

## **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model**

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasy experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation. (150-200 words).

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation. (3 to 5 keywords)

### **Introduction**

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

**Comment [A1]:** Add a brief description of the population and sample

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani, Herliantari, Irwandani, Saregar, & Umam, 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri Wulandari, Mujib, 2016). According to the results of research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (A. P. Kusuma, 2017). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model, motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016) If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities. Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, Irwandani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, n.d.; Supriadi et al., 2018).



Figure 1. The results of Motivation (external and internal) [Source of picture :

<https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>]

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhandjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, n.d.; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiyuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with renewal, that is, seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.

## Methodology

### *Research Design*

This research was a quasi-experimental study using a 2 x 2 factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test. This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

**Comment [A2]:** Research sample section

Table 1. Research design

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )
---------------------	-------------------------------

	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning ( $A_2$ )	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

### Research Sample

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlet Test.

Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge. A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.

Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANAVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models

(ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts.

## Findings / Results

**Comment [A3]:** Show student work snippets / papers

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests

Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central			Size of Group	
			Tendency			Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2. the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.



Table 3. Description of Observation Observation Questionnaire Data

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3. the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANAVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Based on Figure 2, the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah, Romadhona, et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtained  $L_{count} 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{count} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test t on test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ . The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control

class get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah, Huda, et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schuffee method '. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah, et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019).

Table 5. Calculation of the Double Column Comparison Test

No	$H_0$	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	$H_0$ rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	$H_0$ rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	$H_0$ accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 5:

- a) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 5, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability.
- b) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- c) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

## Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to Sumartini mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research

conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi, & Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by Apriyani, revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Effendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, Kusuma explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani, Umam, Abdurrahman, & Syazali, 2019) and learning outcomes (N. M. Y. Kusuma, Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, 2014).

## **Conclusion**

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an

influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level Scaffolding : A Novel Approach of Physics Teacher Development Program for Promoting Content Knowledge Mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh motivasi belajar ditinjau dari jenis kelamin terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis mahasiswa [The effect of learning motivation in terms of gender on students' mathematical critical thinking abilities]. *Scientific Journal Siliwangi STKIP / Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR (elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce) terhadap kemampuan berpikir reflektif matematis siswa [The influence of ECIRR learning models (elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce) on students' mathematical reflective thinking skills] (B.S. thesis). Jakarta: FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh inquiry learning dan problem based learning terhadap hasil belajar PKKR ditinjau dari motivasi belajar [The effect of inquiry learning and problem based learning on PKKR learning outcomes in terms of learning motivation]. *Journal of Vocational Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR berbantuan information and communication technology (ICT) terhadap prestasi belajar fisika siswa kelas X MIPA [The influence of ECIRR learning models assisted by information and communication technology (ICT) on the physics learning achievement of students of Class X MIPA]. SKRIPSI Physics Department - Faculty of Mathematics and Natural

Sciences UM / *SKRIPSI Jurusan Fisika - Fakultas MIPA UM*, 0(0).

- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan metode pembelajaran kooperatif model stad ditinjau dari prestasi dan motivasi belajar siswa di kelas VIII SMP [The effectiveness of the cooperative learning method stad model in terms of student achievement and motivation in class VIII SMP]. *PYTHAGORAS: Journal of Mathematics Education / PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan program geogebra dan casyopee dalam pembelajaran geometri ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa [The use of geogebra and casyopee programs in learning geometry in terms of student motivation]. *Mercumatika Journal / Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its Impact on the Students' Creative Problem-Solving Ability on the Concept of Substance Pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics Learning through Active Learning Based Interactive Conceptual Instructions (ALBICI) to Improve Critical Thinking Ability. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research and Learning / Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhandjito, & H, S. K. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR terhadap penguasaan konsep fisika pada siswa SMK [The influence of ECIRR learning models on the mastery of physics concepts in vocational students]. *Journal of Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (n.d.). Keefektifan PBL dan IBL ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan representasi matematis, dan motivasi belajar [The effectiveness of PBL and IBL in terms of learning achievement, mathematical representation ability, and learning motivation]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research / Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM: Scientific Journal of Mathematics Education / JIPM: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor Determinants of Teacher Professionalism as Development of Student Learning Education at School of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-Prompting Based on Ethnomathematics Learning Model: The Effect on Mathematical Communication Skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning Model to Improve The Ability to Understand Mathematical Concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan penalaran matematis dan habits of mind siswa smp dalam

materi segiempat dan segitiga[Mathematical reasoning abilities and habits of mind of junior high school students in terms of rectangles and triangles]. *Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>

Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 135–144.

Kusuma, N. M. Y., Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, S. P., & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, S. (2014). Pengaruh model pembelajaran elicit confront identify resolve reinforce (ECIRR) berbantuan media audiovisual terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas IV SD gugus singakerta tahun ajaran 2013/2014[The influence of elicit confront identify learning model resolving reinforce (ECIRR) assisted by audiovisual media on the mathematics learning outcomes of fourth grade elementary school students in the academic group 2013/2014]. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1).

Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative Learning Application with the Method of Network Tree Concept Map : Based on Japanese Learning System Approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>

Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA dengan pendekatan saintifik menggunakan model learning cycle dan discovery learning ditinjau dari aktivitas dan motivasi belajar siswa terhadap prestasi belajar[Science learning with a scientific approach using the learning cycle model and discovery learning in terms of student learning activities and motivation towards learning achievement]. *JPFK*, 2(1), 1–11.

Meriyana, tandiayuk, m. b., & paloloang, b. (2016). Profil berpikir siswa kelas viii smp dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita aljabar berpandu padataksonomi solo ditinjau dari tingkat motivasi belajar matematik[The profile of students thinking in the junior high school in solving solo algebraic story questions in the context of solo economics in terms of the level of mathematical learning motivation]. *Axiom: Journal of Mathematics Education / Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.

Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The Use of Management Strategies to Attract the Public ' s Interest in Pesantren : A New Model for Pesantren Dynamics Study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.

Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to Manage Numerical Abilities in Algebra Material? *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management System of Education : Conceptual Similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese Learning System and Islamic Learning System in Indonesia. *Tadris Journal of Teacher Training and Tarbiyah Science / Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>

Nopitasari, D. (n.d.). Pengaruh Model pembelajaran creative problem solving (cps) terhadap kemampuan penalaran adaptif matematis siswa[Creative problem solving (cps) learning model on students' mathematical adaptive reasoning abilities]. *M a T H L I N E: Jurnal*



*Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika.*

- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic achievement and conceptual understanding of electrodynamics: applications geoelectric using cooperative learning model. *Al-Biruni Physics Scientific Journal of Education / Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh model pembelajaran investigasi kelompok berbantuan perangkat lunak maple terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis [The influence of learning models on maple-assisted group investigation on mathematical problem-solving abilities]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the effectiveness of learning in the use of learning modules against student learning outcomes. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics / Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem based learning , its usability and critical view as educational learning tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The effect of flipped-problem based learning model integrated with lms-google classroom for senior high school students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas [Improve reasoning abilities and mathematics achievement with constructivism approach to high school students]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 111–128.
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The effectiveness of stem-based on gender differences: the impact of physics concept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh pendekatan pembelajaran berbasis masalah terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika siswa kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang tahun pelajaran 2013/2014 [The effect of the problem-based learning approach on the mathematical problem solving ability of students of class VIII of SMP Negeri 1 Padang in the academic year 2013/2014]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2), 65–71.
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan kausal penalaran matematis terhadap prestasi belajar matematika pada materi bangun ruang sisi datar ditinjau dari motivasi belajar matematika siswa [The causal relationship of mathematical reasoning to mathematics learning achievement in flat side space building material in terms of students' mathematics learning motivation]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi media simulasi virtual pada model pembelajaran ecirr untuk meremediasi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi perubahan wujud zat [Application of virtual simulation media on the ecirr learning model to

remediate student misconceptions on material changes in substance]. *SNFA Proceedings (National Seminar of Physics and Its Applications) / Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>

Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan metode penemuan terbimbing dan metode pemberian tugas pada pembelajaran bangun ruang sisi lengkung[The effectiveness of the guided discovery method and the assignment method in learning to build curved side space]. *Journal of Mathematics and Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.

Sriyakul, T., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Development, T., Chi, H., City, M., ... City, M. (2019). Internal supply chain integration and operational performance of Indonesian fashion industry firms : a supplier to buyer approach. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(2), 479–486. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7256>

Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah[Improving students' mathematical reasoning abilities through problem based learning]. *Mosharafa: Journal of Mathematics Education / Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.

Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The utilization of project based learning and guided discovery learning: effective methods to improve students' mathematics ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.

Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran kooperatif tipe stad (student teams achievement divisions) dan jigsaw terhadap prestasi belajar ekonomi ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa tahun ajaran 2014/2015[The effect of cooperative learning type STAD (student teams achievement divisions) and jigsaw against economic learning achievement judging from student learning motivation for 2014/2015 Academic Year]. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.

Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran logis dan komunikasi matematis melalui model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe think pair share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan[Improving logical reasoning and mathematical communication capabilities through think pair share (TPS) cooperative learning models in SMP Negeri 24 Medan]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Paradikma / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3), 1-9.

## Appendix

[Source of website : <https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>]

# **The Effects of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in the Curriculum Perspective 2013: Integration on Student Learning Motivation**

## **The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model**

**(write your running head  
suggestion, which will be seen in header of odd pages)**

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR(Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasy experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School. The Samples were taken at class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR(Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation.(150-200 words).

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation. (3 to 5 keywords)

### **Introduction**

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making

generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani et al., 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri et al., 2016). According to the results of research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (Kusuma, 2017).

The characteristics of the curriculum 2013 use a scientific approach and recommend learning that actualizes the full potential of students and arouses motivation. Learning models that are relevant to the demands of the curriculum 2013 one of which is ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model,

motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016) If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities. Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, 2017; Supriadi et al., 2018).



Figure 1. The results of Motivation (external and internal) [Source of picture :

<https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhardjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, 2017; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student

motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiyuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with renewal, that is, seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.

## **Methodology**

### *Research Design*

This research was a quasi-experimental study using a 2 x 2 factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test. This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

Table 1. Research design

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )		
	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning ( $A_2$ )	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

### Research Sample

The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class.



Figure 2. The condition of the class room (up : experiment class, bottom : control class)

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before

conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlett Test.

Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge. A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.

Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANAVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models (ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts.

## **Findings / Results**

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests



Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central Tendency			Size of Group Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2. the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Description of Observation Observation Questionnaire Data

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3. the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students

who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.




Figure 3. Student work snippets / papers

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANAVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Figure 4.




Figure 4. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Based on Figure 4, the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah, Romadhona, et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtained  $L_{count} 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{count} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test ton test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ . The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control class

get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah, Huda, et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schuffee method '. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala et al., 2019).

Table 5. Calculation of the Double Column Comparison Test

No	$H_0$	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	$H_0$ rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	$H_0$ rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	$H_0$ accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 5:

- a) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 5, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. **This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability.**
- b) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- c) Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

## Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to Sumartini mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research

conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi, & Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by Apriyani, revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Effendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, Kusuma explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani, Umam, Abdurrahman, & Syazali, 2019) and learning outcomes (N. M. Y. Kusuma, Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, 2014).

## **Conclusion**

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an

influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level Scaffolding : A Novel Approach of Physics Teacher Development Program for Promoting Content Knowledge Mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh motivasi belajar ditinjau dari jenis kelamin terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis mahasiswa [The effect of learning motivation in terms of gender on students' mathematical critical thinking abilities]. *Scientific Journal Siliwangi STKIP / Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR (*elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce*) terhadap kemampuan berpikir reflektif matematis siswa [The influence of ECIRR learning models (*elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce*) on students' mathematical reflective thinking skills] (B.S. thesis). Jakarta: FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh inquiry learning dan problem based learning terhadap hasil belajar PKKR ditinjau dari motivasi belajar [The effect of inquiry learning and problem based learning on PKKR learning outcomes in terms of learning motivation]. *Journal of Vocational Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR berbantuan information and communication technology (ICT) terhadap prestasi belajar fisika siswa kelas X MIPA [The influence of ECIRR learning models assisted by information and communication technology (ICT) on the physics learning achievement of students of Class X MIPA]. SKRIPSI Physics Department - Faculty of Mathematics and Natural

- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan metode pembelajaran kooperatif model stad ditinjau dari prestasi dan motivasi belajar siswa di kelas VIII SMP]. *THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE COOPERATIVE LEARNING METHOD STAD MODEL IN TERMS OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND MOTIVATION IN CLASS VIII SMP*. *PYTHAGORAS: Journal of Mathematics Education / PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan program geogebra dan casyopee dalam pembelajaran geometri ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa[The use of geogebra and casyopee programs in learning geometry in terms of student motivation]. *Mercumatika Journal / Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its Impact on the Students' Creative Problem-Solving Ability on the Concept of Substance Pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics Learning through Active Learning Based Interactive Conceptual Instructions (ALBICI) to Improve Critical Thinking Ability. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research and Learning / Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhardjito, & H, S. K. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR terhadap penguasaan konsep fisika pada siswa SMK[The influence of ECIRR learning models on the mastery of physics concepts in vocational students]. *Journal of Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (n.d.). Keefektifan PBL dan IBL ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan representasi matematis, dan motivasi belajar[The effectiveness of PBL and IBL in terms of learning achievement, mathematical representation ability, and learning motivation]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research / Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM: Scientific Journal of Mathematics Education / JIPM : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor Determinants of Teacher Professionalism as Development of Student Learning Education at School of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-Prompting Based on Ethnomathematics Learning Model : The Effect on Mathematical Communication Skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning Model to Improve The Ability to Understand Mathematical Concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan penalaran matematis dan habits of mind siswa smp dalam



- materi segiempat dan segitiga[Mathematical reasoning abilities and habits of mind of junior high school students in terms of rectangles and triangles]. *Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>
- Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 135–144.
- Kusuma, N. M. Y., Drs. I Wayan Wiarta, S. P., & Ida Bagus Gede Surya Abadi, S. (2014). Pengaruh model pembelajaran elicit confront identify resolve reinforce (ECIRR) berbantuan media audiovisual terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas IV SD gugus singakerta tahun ajaran 2013/2014 [The influence of elicit confront identify learning model resolving reinforce (ECIRR) assisted by audiovisual media on the mathematics learning outcomes of fourth grade elementary school students in the academic group 2013/2014]. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1).
- Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative Learning Application with the Method of Network Tree Concept Map : Based on Japanese Learning System Approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA dengan pendekatan saintifik menggunakan model learning cycle dan discovery learning ditinjau dari aktivitas dan motivasi belajar siswa terhadap prestasi belajar [Science learning with a scientific approach using the learning cycle model and discovery learning in terms of student learning activities and motivation towards learning achievement]. *JPFK*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Meriyana, tandiayuk, m. b., & paloloang, b. (2016). Profil berpikir siswa kelas viii smp dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita aljabar berpandu padataksonomi solo ditinjau dari tingkat motivasi belajar matematik [The profile of students thinking in the junior high school in solving solo algebraic story questions in the context of solo economics in terms of the level of mathematical learning motivation]. *Axiom: Journal of Mathematics Education / Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The Use of Management Strategies to Attract the Public ' s Interest in Pesantren : A New Model for Pesantren Dynamics Study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to Manage Numerical Abilities in Algebra Material? *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management System of Education : Conceptual Similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese Learning System and Islamic Learning System in Indonesia. *Tadris Journal of Teacher Training and Tarbiyah Science / Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>
- Nopitasari, D. (n.d.). Pengaruh Model pembelajaran creative problem solving (cps) terhadap kemampuan penalaran adaptif matematis siswa [Creative problem solving (cps) learning model on students' mathematical adaptive reasoning abilities]. *M a T H L I N E: Jurnal*

- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic achievement and conceptual understanding of electrodynamics: applications geoelectric using cooperative learning model. *Al-Biruni Physics Scientific Journal of Education / Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh model pembelajaran investigasi kelompok berbantuan perangkat lunak maple terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis [The influence of learning models on maple-assisted group investigation on mathematical problem-solving abilities]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the effectiveness of learning in the use of learning modules against student learning outcomes. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics / Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem based learning , its usability and critical view as educational learning tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The effect of flipped-problem based learning model integrated with lms-google classroom for senior high school students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas [Improve reasoning abilities and mathematics achievement with constructivism approach to high school students]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 111–128.
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The effectiveness of stem-based on gender differences: the impact of physics concept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh pendekatan pembelajaran berbasis masalah terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika siswa kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang tahun pelajaran 2013/2014 [The effect of the problem-based learning approach on the mathematical problem solving ability of students of class VIII of SMP Negeri 1 Padang in the academic year 2013/2014]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2), 65–71.
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan kausal penalaran matematis terhadap prestasi belajar matematika pada materi bangun ruang sisi datar ditinjau dari motivasi belajar matematika siswa [The causal relationship of mathematical reasoning to mathematics learning achievement in flat side space building material in terms of students' mathematics learning motivation]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi media simulasi virtual pada model pembelajaran ecirr untuk meremediasi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi perubahan wujud zat [Application of virtual simulation media on the ecirr learning model to

remediate student misconceptions on material changes in substance]. *SNFA Proceedings (National Seminar of Physics and Its Applications) / Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>

Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan metode penemuan terbimbing dan metode pemberian tugas pada pembelajaran bangun ruang sisi lengkung[The effectiveness of the guided discovery method and the assignment method in learning to build curved side space]. *Journal of Mathematics and Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.

Sriyakul, T., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Development, T., Chi, H., City, M., ... City, M. (2019). Internal supply chain integration and operational performance of Indonesian fashion industry firms: a supplier to buyer approach. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(2), 479–486. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7256>

Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah[Improving students' mathematical reasoning abilities through problem based learning]. *Mosharafa: Journal of Mathematics Education / Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.

Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The utilization of project based learning and guided discovery learning: effective methods to improve students' mathematics ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.

Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran kooperatif tipe stad (student teams achievement divisions) dan jigsaw terhadap prestasi belajar ekonomi ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa tahun ajaran 2014/2015[The effect of cooperative learning type STAD (student teams achievement divisions) and jigsaw against economic learning achievement judging from student learning motivation for 2014/2015 Academic Year]. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.



Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran logis dan komunikasi matematis melalui model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe think pair share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan[Improving logical reasoning and mathematical communication capabilities through think pair share (TPS) cooperative learning models in SMP Negeri 24 Medan]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Paradikma / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3), 1-9.

## Appendix

[Source of website : <https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>]

No	Reviews	Corrections made by the author
1	need to be explained more comprehensively	<p>This research was a quasi-experimental study using a 2 x 2 factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test</p>
2	(A2)	Conventional Learning (A <sub>2</sub> )

3	need more complete explanation about the research sample	<p>Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge. A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.</p> <p>Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANAVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models (ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts</p>
4	The researcher conclusions before quoting the statement of the other party	<p>This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability</p>
5	Narrowed down, use pictures or graphics to explain the results	<p>Figure 2. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results</p>

6	Shortened. Do not be so clear. Make yourself a reader. How to make this journal contents can make the reader curious.	
7	references	Already fix
8	Add a brief description of the population and sample	The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School. The Samples were taken at class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class.
9	Research sample section	Already move to Research sample section
10	Show student work snippets / papers	<div> <div> <p>Control Class</p>  </div> <div> <p>Experiment Class</p>  </div> </div>



# European Journal of Educational Research

Volume 9, Issue 2, 675 - 684.

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## The Effects of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in the Curriculum Perspective 2013: Integration on Student Learning Motivation

**Agus Pahrudin\***

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden  
Intan Lampung, INDONESIA

**Nur Ahid**

Institut Agama Islam Negeri  
Kediri, INDONESIA

**Syamsul Huda**

Institut Agama Islam Negeri  
Kediri, INDONESIA

**Nita Ardianti**

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden  
Intan Lampung, INDONESIA

**Fredi Ganda Putra**

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan  
Lampung, INDONESIA

**Bambang Sri Anggoro**

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan  
Lampung, INDONESIA

**Watcharin Joemsittiprasert**

ASA College, USA

*Received: January 15, 2019 • Revised: February 27, 2020 • Accepted: March 27, 2020*

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasi-experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School. The Samples were taken at class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation.

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation.

**To cite this article:** Pahrudin, A., Ahid, N., Huda, S., Ardianti, N., Putra, F. G., Anggoro, B. S., & Joemsittiprasert, W. (2020). The effects of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in the curriculum perspective 2013: Integration on student learning motivation. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 675-684. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.2.675>

### Introduction

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani et al., 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri et al., 2016). According to the results of

\*Corresponding author:

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia. ✉ [agus.pahrudin@radenintan.ac.id](mailto:agus.pahrudin@radenintan.ac.id)



research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (Kusuma, 2017).

The characteristics of the curriculum 2013 use a scientific approach and recommend learning that actualizes the full potential of students and arouses motivation (Ahid et al., 2020). Learning models that are relevant to the demands of the curriculum 2013 one of which is ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model (Sumarni et al., 2019), motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016). If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities (Yasin et al., 2020). Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrin & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, 2017; Supriadi et al., 2018).



Figure 1. The results of Motivation (external and internal) [Source of picture : Anonymous (2018, February 1). Strategies to Increase Motivation. <https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>]

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhandjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, 2017; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiyuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with the renewal that is seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.



## Methodology

### Research Design

This research was a quasi-experimental study using a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test. This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

Table 1. Research design

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )		
	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning ( $A_2$ )	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

### Research Sample

The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class.



Figure 2. The condition of the class room (up : experiment class, bottom : control class)

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlett's test.

Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge (Huda et al., 2020). A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.

Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANOVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics

concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models (ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts.

### Findings / Results

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

*Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests*

Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central Tendency			Size of Group Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2 the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.

*Table 3. Description of Observation Questionnaire Data*

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3.the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.

**Lembar Jawaban**

diketahui :

- $f(x) = 100x + 500$
- $x$  = banyak potong kain yang terjual
- $f(x)$  = keuntungan dan hasil penjualan  $x$  potong kain

ditanyakan :

- 1. Berapakah keuntungan yg diperoleh Pedagang tsb bila menjual 100 potong kain?
- 2. Berapakah potongan potong kain yg harus dijual bila keuntungan yg diharapkan sebesar Rp. 100.000,-?

untuk menyelesaikan soal tsb, kita harus terlebih dahulu mengetahui materi tentang rumus fungsi dan nilai fungsi

Jawab :

1.  $f(x) = 500$   
 $f(100) = 100 \cdot 100 + 500$   
 $f(100) = 10.000 + 500$   
 $f(100) = 10.500$   
 = Rp. 10.500,-

**Lembar Jawaban**

1.  $f(x) = 100x + 500 = 200.000$   
 $100x + 500 = 200.000$   
 $100x = 200.000 - 500$   
 $100x = 199.500$   
 $x = \frac{199.500}{100}$   
 $x = 1.995$

**Control Class**

**Lembar Jawaban**

\* diketahui : -  $x$  banyak potong kain  
 -  $f(x) = 100x + 500$

ditanya : - tentukan berapa keuntungan yang diperoleh  
 - tentukan juga berapa potong kain yang harus dijual oleh pedagang tersebut

untuk mengerjakan soal tersebut kita harus mengetahui rumus fungsi dan nilainya.

Penyelesaian :

-  $f(x)$ , jika  $x = 100$   
 $f(x) = 100x + 500$   
 $= 10.000 + 500$   
 $= 10.500,00$

Jadi keuntungan yg diperoleh sebesar Rp. 10.500,00.

**Lembar Jawaban**

-  $f(x) = 200.000$   
 $100x + 500 = 200.000$   
 $100x = 200.000 - 500$   
 $100x = 199.500$   
 $x = \frac{199.500}{100}$   
 $x = 1.995$

Jadi banyak potongan kain yg harus dijual oleh pedagang sebanyak 1.995.

**Experiment Class**

Figure 3. Student work snippets / papers

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANOVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Figure 4.




Figure 4. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Based on Figure 4, the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} = 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{count} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test ton test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ . The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control class get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schaffer method. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala et al., 2019).

Table 5. Calculation of the double column comparison test

No	H <sub>0</sub>	F <sub>count</sub>	F <sub>table</sub>	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 5:

- Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 5, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability.
- Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

### Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to (Sumartini, 2018) mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi, & Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by (Apriyani, 2017), revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Effendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, (Kusuma et al., 2014) explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani et al., 2019) and learning outcomes (Kusuma et al., 2014).

### Conclusion

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level scaffolding : A novel approach of physics teacher development program for promoting content knowledge mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Ahid, N., Hidayah, N., Maskur, R., & Purnama, S. (2020). Evaluation of Curriculum 2013 with Context Input Process Product Model in Schools of Kediri, Indonesia, *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(7), 1573–1582.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh motivasi belajar ditinjau dari jenis kelamin terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis mahasiswa [The effect of learning motivation in terms of gender on students' mathematical critical thinking abilities]. *Scientific Journal Siliwangi STKIP / Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). *Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR (elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce) terhadap kemampuan berpikir reflektif matematis siswa* [The influence of ECIRR learning models (elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce) on students' mathematical reflective thinking skills] [Bachelor thesis, FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta].
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh inquiry learning dan problem based learning terhadap hasil belajar PKKR ditinjau dari motivasi belajar [The effect of inquiry learning and problem based learning on PKKR learning outcomes in terms of learning motivation]. *Journal of Vocational Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR berbantuan information and communication technology (ICT) terhadap prestasi belajar fisika siswa kelas X MIPA [The influence of ECIRR learning models assisted by information and communication technology (ICT) on the physics learning achievement of students of Class X MIPA]. *SKRIPSI Physics Department - Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences UM / SKRIPSI Jurusan Fisika - Fakultas MIPA UM*, 13(1), 1–18.
- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan metode pembelajaran kooperatif model stad ditinjau dari prestasi dan motivasi belajar siswa di kelas VIII SMP [The effectiveness of the cooperative learning method stad model in terms of student achievement and motivation in class VIII SMP]. *PYTHAGORAS: Journal of Mathematics Education/ PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan program geogebra dan casyopee dalam pembelajaran geometri ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa [The use of geogebra and casyopee programs in learning geometry in terms of student motivation]. *Mercumatika Journal/ Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its impact on the students' creative problem-solving ability on the concept of substance pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1), 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics learning through active learning based interactive conceptual instructions (ALBICI) to improve critical thinking ability. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research and Learning/ Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhandjito, & Supriono. K. H. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR terhadap penguasaan konsep fisika pada siswa SMK [The influence of ECIRR learning models on the mastery of physics concepts in vocational students]. *Journal of Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (2014). Keefektifan PBL dan IBL ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan representasi matematis, dan motivasi belajar [The effectiveness of PBL and IBL in terms of learning achievement, mathematical representation ability, and learning motivation]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research / Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM: Scientific Journal of Mathematics Education/ JIPM : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor determinants of teacher professionalism as development of student learning education at school of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-prompting based on ethnomathematics learning model : The effect on mathematical communication skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning model to improve



- the ability to understand mathematical concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Huda, S., Kharisma, H. N., Qoma, I., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2020). How Mathematical Reasoning Abilities can be improved? : A Study Case at Islamic Boarding School. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics/ Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 3(1), 1–6.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan penalaran matematis dan habits of mind siswa smp dalam materi segiempat dan segitiga[Mathematical reasoning abilities and habits of mind of junior high school students in terms of rectangles and triangles]. *Journal of Math Educator Nusantara / Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>
- Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa[Implementation of the Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) and Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) Learning Model in terms of the Spatial Ability of Students]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v8i2.1586>
- Kusuma, N. M. Y., Wiarta, I. W., & Abadi, I. B. G. S. (2014). Pengaruh model pembelajaran elicit confront identify resolve reinforce (ECIRR) berbantuan media audiovisual terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas IV SD gugus singakerta tahun ajaran 2013/2014[The influence of elicit confront identify learning model resolving reinforce (ECIRR) assisted by audiovisual media on the mathematics learning outcomes of fourth grade elementary school students in the academic group 2013/2014]. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative learning application with the method of network tree concept map : Based on Japanese learning system approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA dengan pendekatan saintifik menggunakan model learning cycle dan discovery learning ditinjau dari aktivitas dan motivasi belajar siswa terhadap prestasi belajar[Science learning with a scientific approach using the learning cycle model and discovery learning in terms of student learning activities and motivation towards learning achievement]. *Journal of Physical and Scientific Education/ Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Keilmuan (JPFK)*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Meriyana, Tandiyuk, M. B., & Paloloang, B. (2016). Profil berpikir siswa kelas viii smp dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita aljabar berpandu padataksonomi solo ditinjau dari tingkat motivasi belajar matematik[The profile of students thinking in the junior high school in solving solo algebraic story questions in the context of solo economics in terms of the level of mathematical learning motivation]. *Axiom: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The use of management strategies to attract the public ' s interest in pesantren: A new model for Pesantren dynamics study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to manage numerical abilities in algebra material?, *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education/Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management system of education: conceptual similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese learning system and Islamic learning system in Indonesia. *Tadris Journal of Teacher Training and Tarbiyah Science /Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>
- Nopitasari, D. (2016). Pengaruh Model pembelajaran creative problem solving (cps) terhadap kemampuan penalaran adaptif matematis siswa[Creative problem solving (cps) learning model on students' mathematical adaptive reasoning abilities]. *MaTHLINE: Journal of Mathematics and Mathematics Education/MaTHLINE: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.31943/mathline.v1i2.22>
- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic achievement and conceptual understanding of electrodynamics: applications geoelectric using cooperative learning model. *Al-Biruni Physics Scientific Journal of Education/ Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh model pembelajaran investigasi kelompok berbantuan perangkat lunak maple terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis[The influence of learning models on maple-assisted group investigation on mathematical problem-solving abilities]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the effectiveness of learning in the use of learning modules

- against student learning outcomes. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics/ Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem based learning , its usability and critical view as educational learning tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The effect of flipped-problem based learning model integrated with lms-google classroom for senior high school students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas[Improve reasoning abilities and mathematics achievement with constructivism approach to high school students]. *Journal of Mathematics Education/ Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 111–128.
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The effectiveness of stem-based on gender differences: the impact of physics concept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh pendekatan pembelajaran berbasis masalah terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika siswa kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang tahun pelajaran 2013/2014[The effect of the problem-based learning approach on the mathematical problem solving ability of students of class VIII of SMP Negeri 1 Padang in the academic year 2013/2014]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2), 65–71.
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan kausal penalaran matematis terhadap prestasi belajar matematika pada materi bangun ruang sisi datar ditinjau dari motivasi belajar matematika siswa[The causal relationship of mathematical reasoning to mathematics learning achievement in flat side space building material in terms of students' mathematics learning motivation]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi media simulasi virtual pada model pembelajaran ecirr untuk meremediasi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi perubahan wujud zat [Application of virtual simulation media on the ecirr learning model to remediate student misconceptions on material changes in substance]. *SNFA Proceedings (National Seminar of Physics and Its Applications) / Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>
- Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan metode penemuan terbimbing dan metode pemberian tugas pada pembelajaran bangun ruang sisi lengkung[The effectiveness of the guided discovery method and the assignment method in learning to build curved side space]. *Journal of Mathematics and Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.
- Sumarni, S., Ramadhani, R., Sazaki, Y., Astika, R., Andika, W., & Prasetyo, A. (2019). Development of "Child Friendly ICT" Textbooks to Improve Professional Competence of Teacher Candidates : A Case Study of Early Childhood Education Program Students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(3), 643–658. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.596095>
- Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah[Improving students' mathematical reasoning abilities through problem based learning]. *Mosharafa: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.
- Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The utilization of project based learning and guided discovery learning: Effective methods to improve students' mathematics ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.
- Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran kooperatif tipe stad (student teams achievement divisions) dan jigsaw terhadap prestasi belajar ekonomi ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa tahun ajaran 2014/2015[The effect of cooperative learning type STAD (student teams achievement divisions) and jigsaw against economic learning achievement judging from student learning motivation for 2014/2015 Academic Year]. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.
- Yasin, M., Nasiroh, N., Fadila, A., Hartinah, S., & Novalia, N. (2020). Mathematical reasoning abilities: The Impact of Novick's Learning and Somatic, Auditory, Visual, Intellectual Learning Styles. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics/ Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 3(1), 83–88. <https://doi.org/10.24042/djm.v3i1.4907>.
- Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran logis dan komunikasi matematis melalui model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe think pair share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan[Improving logical reasoning and mathematical communication capabilities through think pair share (TPS) cooperative learning models in SMP Negeri 24 Medan]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Paradikma / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3), 1–9.





# European Journal of Educational Research

Volume 9, Issue 2, 675 - 684.

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## The Effects of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in the Curriculum Perspective 2013: Integration on Student Learning Motivation

**Agus Pahrudin\***

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden  
Intan Lampung, INDONESIA

**Nur Ahid**

Institut Agama Islam Negeri  
Kediri, INDONESIA

**Syamsul Huda**

Institut Agama Islam Negeri  
Kediri, INDONESIA

**Nita Ardianti**

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden  
Intan Lampung, INDONESIA

**Fredi Ganda Putra**

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan  
Lampung, INDONESIA

**Bambang Sri Anggoro**

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan  
Lampung, INDONESIA

**Watcharin Joemsittiprasert**

ASA College, USA

*Received: January 15, 2019 • Revised: February 27, 2020 • Accepted: March 27, 2020*

**Abstract:** This study aims to determine the impact of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of student motivation. The research method used was a quasi-experimental method with a post-test only control design research design. The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School. The Samples were taken at class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class. The data analysis technique used is hypothesis testing using ANAVA 2 paths. Based on the research results obtained that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of students' learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities. So as a whole it can be concluded that the ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model influences the ability of mathematical reasoning and can increase students' learning motivation.

**Keywords:** ECIRR, mathematical reasoning ability, student learning motivation.

**To cite this article:** Pahrudin, A., Ahid, N., Huda, S., Ardianti, N., Putra, F. G., Anggoro, B. S., & Joemsittiprasert, W. (2020). The effects of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in the curriculum perspective 2013: Integration on student learning motivation. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 675-684. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.2.675>

### Introduction

Mathematics has an important role as a basis for logic and reasoning, as well as quantitative solutions that can be used for other lessons. In accordance with one of the goals of mathematics learning according to Ministry of Education Regulation No.22 of 2006 is to use reasoning on patterns and traits, carry out mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compiling evidence or explaining mathematical ideas and statements (Sari, 2014). Mathematical reasoning abilities need to be the focus of attention in mathematics learning. Because through reasoning students can use their reasoning to think in learning mathematics.

Mathematical reasoning ability is one of the important abilities to be trained, because this ability is one of the goals in learning (Sumartini, 2018) and is the ability to think that sees the phenomenal that arises then arranged to draw conclusions (Riyanto & Siroj, 2014). But in reality, the low reasoning ability of students is still a problem that occurs at this time. One of the factors that influence the lack of improvement in students' mathematical reasoning is the learning model used by educators. Monotonous learning and not attracting the attention of students will make students tend to be lazy and will reduce the level of student learning motivation (Abdurrahman et al., 2019). The selection of the right learning model will help students understand the mathematics learning material (Diani et al., 2019). One learning model that can be used is a cooperative learning model (Lestari et al., 2019). Cooperative learning is a learning process where students are active, positive and learn to work together in groups (Putri et al., 2016). According to the results of

\*Corresponding author:

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia. ✉ [agus.pahrudin@radenintan.ac.id](mailto:agus.pahrudin@radenintan.ac.id)



research some experts show that the influence of the use of cooperative models makes students' mathematical learning achievement better than students' mathematical learning achievements using conventional learning methods (Kusuma, 2017).

The characteristics of the curriculum 2013 use a scientific approach and recommend learning that actualizes the full potential of students and arouses motivation (Ahid et al., 2020). Learning models that are relevant to the demands of the curriculum 2013 one of which is ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). One of the cooperative models that can be used to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities and learning motivation is the learning model ECIRR (*Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce*). In addition to the learning model (Sumarni et al., 2019), motivation is also one of the causes of success or failure of learning (Sriwidiarti, 2016). If the motivation is strong enough he will decide to do learning activities (Yasin et al., 2020). Conversely, if the motivation is not strong enough he will decide not to carry out learning activities (Diani, et al., 2019), because motivation arises from within or from outside himself (Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Farhan & Retnawati, 2017; Supriadi et al., 2018).



Figure 1. The results of Motivation (external and internal) [Source of picture : Anonymous (2018, February 1). Strategies to Increase Motivation. <https://visionexercisephysiology.com.au/strategies-increase-motivation/>]

Various studies on the ECIRR learning model on the mastery of student concepts have been carried out (Effendi, Muhandjito, & H, 2017) various studies in improving mathematical reasoning abilities have also been carried out (Indriani, 2018; Nopitasari, 2017; Setiawan, 2016; Sumartini, 2018; Zahara, 2014) as well as previous research that reviews student motivation (Anita, 2015; Arifin Handoyo & Arifin, 2016; Badrun & Hartono, 2013; Badu Kusuma & Utami, 2017; Ghofuri, Sanusi, & Krisdiana, 2014; Maduretno, Sarwanto, & Sunarno, 2016; Meriyana, Tandiyuk, & Paloloang, 2016; Setiawan, 2016; Wardani, 2015). But there is no research that applies the ECIRR learning model to mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation.

Based on the previous research, researchers are interested in conducting research with the renewal that is seeing the effect of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of learning motivation. So, the purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning ability in terms of student learning motivation.

## Methodology

### Research Design

This research was a quasi-experimental study using a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design. The treatment was given to two groups of students, the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group is a group of students who learn by using the ECIRR learning model, the control group is a group of students who learn by cognitive conflict models with direct current electricity teaching material. The study uses learning tools with the ECIRR model, essay test items to measure initial knowledge, and multiple choice items to measure students' mastery of concepts. The research data were analyzed with two-way ANAVA after the prerequisite tests were carried out: normality test and homogeneity variance test. This type of research used in this study is a quasi-experimental study with a posttest only control design. The research design is described as in Table 1.

Table 1. Research design

Treatment ( $A_i$ )	Motivation to learn ( $B_j$ )		
	High ( $B_1$ )	Normally ( $B_2$ )	Low ( $B_3$ )
ECIRR Learning Model ( $A_1$ )	$A_1B_1$	$A_1B_2$	$A_1B_3$
Conventional Learning ( $A_2$ )	$A_2B_1$	$A_2B_2$	$A_2B_3$

### Research Sample

The population of this study was all students in five classes XII Private School of Bandar Lampung in the odd semester of the 2018/2019 academic year. Samples were taken using the cluster sampling method and obtained class XII AP-2 and XII MM-1 as the experimental class, and class XII AP-1 and XII MM-2 as the control class.



Figure 2. The condition of the class room (up : experiment class, bottom : control class)

Data collection techniques using tests, interviews and questionnaires. Research instruments include tests of mathematical reasoning ability and learning motivation questionnaires. The data analysis technique used to test the research hypothesis is the ANAVA test, before conducting a hypothesis test, a prerequisite test is performed, namely the normality test using the Liliefors test and the homogeneity test using the Bartlett's test.

Implementation of the test at the beginning of the research activity with essay items used to measure students' initial knowledge (Huda et al., 2020). A description of the students' initial knowledge data is presented in Table 1. The initial knowledge data obtained by students in the ECIRR class and cognitive conflict were used to classify students into two levels of groups. The two groups are groups of students with a high initial level of knowledge (high PA) with a score above the average and a group of students with a low initial level of knowledge (low PA) with a score below the average. Implementation of the multiple choice item test at the end of the research activity used an essay used to measure students' mastery of concept concepts.

Data description of students' mastery of physics concepts between groups is presented in Figure 1. From the results of the analysis with the two path ANOVA, it is concluded that there are differences in the mastery of students' physics

concepts between groups of students learning through the ECIRR learning model and cognitive conflict models, there are differences in the mastery of students' physics concepts between groups of students who have high and low initial knowledge, and there is an influence of interaction of learning models (ECIRR learning models and cognitive conflict models) and initial knowledge of students' mastery of physical concepts.

### Findings / Results

Based on the results of data processing the results of tests of mathematical reasoning ability of students with descriptive analysis and data from the two groups are in a balanced state then the recapitulation results obtained from the observation are as follows:

*Table 2. Description of Observation Data Mathematical Reasoning Ability Tests*

Class	$X_{max}$	$X_{min}$	Measuring Central Tendency			Size of Group Variance	
			$\bar{X}$	Me	Mo	R	S
Experiment	94	58	75.800	76	76	36	9,208
Control	90	50	70.067	72	74	40	9,861

Based on Table 2 the experimental class obtained the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) = 94, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) = 58. The average in the experimental class is 75.8, with the middle value (median) 76, values that often appear (mode) 76, and range of values ( $R$ ) 36, and standard deviation 9,208. Then, in the control class the highest value ( $X_{max}$ ) 90, and lowest value ( $X_{min}$ ) 50. The average in the experimental class is 70.067, with the middle value (median) 72, values that often appear (mode) 74, and range of values ( $R$ ) 40, and standard deviation 9,861.

In addition to testing the mathematical reasoning ability test, questionnaire data related to learning motivation were also shared with students. The questionnaire data that was analyzed obtained the number of learning motivations included in the 3 criteria that can be seen in Table 3.

*Table 3. Description of Observation Questionnaire Data*

Class	$\bar{X}$	S	Learning Motivation Criteria		
			High	Normally	Low
Experiment	86,567	11,805	6	20	4
Control	84,333	11,586	4	20	6

Based on Table 3.the experimental class obtained an average value of 86,567 and a standard deviation of 11,805. So, it can be categorized that there are 6 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 4 students who are categorized as low learning motivation. While the control class obtained an average value of 84,333 and a standard deviation of 11,586. So, it can be categorized that there are 4 students with high learning motivation, 20 students who are categorized as moderate learning motivation, and 6 students who are categorized as low learning motivation.

**Lembar Jawaban**

diketahui :

- $f(x) = 100x + 500$
- $x$  = banyak potong kain yang dijual
- $f(x)$  = keuntungan dari hasil penjualan  $x$  potong kain

ditanyakan :

- Berapakah keuntungan yg diperoleh pedagang tsb bila menjual 100 potong kain?
- & Berapakah jumlah potong kain yg harus dijual bila keuntungan yg diharapkan sebesar Rp. 10.000,-?

untuk menyelesaikan soal tsb, kita harus terlebih dahulu mengetahui materi tentang rumus fungsi dan nilai fungsi

jawab :

•  $f(x) = 500$   
 $f(100) = 100 \cdot 100 + 500$   
 $f(100) = 10.000 + 500$   
 $f(100) = 10.500$   
 = Rp. 10.500,-

---

**Lembar Jawaban**

•  $f(x) = 100x + 500 = 500.000$   
 $100x + 500 = 500.000$   
 $100x = 500.000 - 500$   
 $100x = 499.500$   
 $x = \underline{4.995}$

**Control Class**

**Lembar Jawaban**

\* diketahui : -  $x$  banyak potong kain  
 -  $f(x) = 100x + 500$

ditanya : - tentukan berapa keuntungan yang diperoleh  
 - tentukan juga berapa potong kain yang harus dijual oleh pedagang tersebut

untuk mengerjakan soal tersebut kita harus mengetahui rumus fungsi dan nilainya.

Penyelesaian :

-  $f(x)$ , jika  $x = 100$   
 $f(x) = 100x + 500$   
 $= 10.000 + 500$   
 $= 10.500,00$

Jadi keuntungan yg diperoleh sebesar Rp. 10.500,00.

---

**Lembar Jawaban**

-  $f(x) = 500.000$   
 $100x + 500 = 500.000$   
 $100x = 500.000 - 500$   
 $100x = 499.500$   
 $x = \frac{499.500}{100}$   
 $= 4.995$

Jadi banyak potongan kain yg harus dijual oleh pedagang sebanyak 4.995.

**Experiment Class**

Figure 3. Student work snippets / papers

After all the test data has been collected, it will be used as a hypothesis test using 2-way ANOVA, but before the hypothesis test is carried out, the prerequisite test will be conducted first using the normality and homogeneity test. The normality test results can be seen in Figure 4.




Figure 4. The results of Recapitulation of Normality Test Results

Based on Figure 4, the experimental class obtained  $L_{count} = 0.139$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ , Control class is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.112$  with  $L_{table} = 0.159$ . while the motivation to learn for students classified as high motivation is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.200$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.261$ , for students belonging to the motivation (Munifah et al., 2019) being obtained  $L_{count} = 0.121$  with a sample of 40 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.138$  and for students belonging to low motivation is obtained  $L_{count} = 0.178$  with a sample of 10 respondents and  $L_{table} = 0.261$ . based on all the normality test results it can be concluded that all groups have  $L_{count} \leq L_{table}$  which mean  $H_0$  accepted which means the data comes from a normally distributed population (Huda et al., 2019).

Furthermore homogeneity tests were performed using test ton test data and questionnaires using a significance level of 5%. The test can be said to be homogeneous if  $X^2_{count} < X^2_{table}$ . The results of the calculation test between the experimental class and the control class get a value  $X^2_{count} = 0.136$  with  $X^2_{table} = 3.481$ , while for the categories of learning motivation that are high (Hartinah et al., 2019), medium and low score  $X^2_{count} = 1.196$  with  $X^2_{table} = 5.991$ . So, it can be concluded that the sample came from a homogeneous population (Munifah et al., 2019). After knowing all the data of each group is normally distributed and comes from the same variance, then the hypothesis test can then be performed using 2 way ANAVA (Habibi et al., 2019). The recapitulation of ANAVA 2 road can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Recapitulation of the results of the 2 road ANAVA

Source	JK	dK	RK	$F_{count}$	$F_{table}$
Learning (A)	370.671	1	370.671	7.153	4.020
Motivation (B)	274	2	1372.5	26.485	3.168
Interaction (AB)	88.2	2	44.100	0.851	3.168
Error	2798.4	54	51.822	-	-
Total	6002.271	59	-	-	-

This explanation indicates that the need for further testing is a double comparison test using the Schaffer method. The aim of this further test is to see the more significant influence of the independent variables, namely the ECIRR learning model (Munifah et al., 2019) and learning motivation on the dependent variable, namely the students' mathematical reasoning ability (Sagala et al., 2019).



Table 5. Calculation of the double column comparison test

No	H <sub>0</sub>	F <sub>count</sub>	F <sub>table</sub>	Test Decision
1	$\mu_1 vs \mu_2$	32.457	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
2	$\mu_1 vs \mu_3$	38.593	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> rejected
3	$\mu_2 vs \mu_3$	4.670	6.336	H <sub>0</sub> accepted

Following are the conclusions of the double comparison test between columns in Table 5:

- Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and moderate learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Based on Table 5, it can be seen that the mean marginal motivation is high, amounting to 86 greater than the average marginal motivation being, at 71.5. This research can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of moderate learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning ability.
- Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be rejected. So there is a significant influence between high learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. The mean marginal of high motivation, by 86 is greater than the marginal rate of low motivation, by 66. So it can be concluded that students who are included in the category of high learning motivation are better than students who are included in the category of low learning motivation towards mathematical reasoning abilities.
- Intermediate calculation results  $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$  obtained  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  which mean H<sub>0</sub> be accepted. So that there is no significant effect between moderate learning motivation and low learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities.

### Discussion

Students will be motivated in learning if learning makes students more active and attracts students' attention (Astamega, 2018). According to (Sumartini, 2018) mathematical reasoning of students can be stimulated by various cooperative learning models that can make students active in the learning process (Sumartini, 2018). This is in line with the results of research conducted by the author that the activeness of students who were given the implementation of the ECIRR model makes students have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who were treated with conventional methods (Prastowo et al., 2019).

The ECIRR model is a model of the learning process actively involving students to create an understanding of oneself. This ECIRR learning has the advantage that the teacher can know the initial knowledge possessed by the student is correct or there is still a mistake because in this lesson students can identify their knowledge (Rahmawati, Lestari, & Umam, 2019), can accustom students to discuss and express opinions using clear and logical language for answers that they think are correct so they can respect one another (Silaban, Suhandi, & Gunanto, 2017). Also based on the results of previous research by (Apriyani, 2017), revealed that the use of the ECIRR model in mathematics learning can improve students' mathematical reflective thinking skills (Apriyani, 2017). This is also in line with the conclusions obtained from the results of research by Efendi that the use of learning in the ECIRR class can improve the ability of students to understand (Ramadhani, Huda, & Umam, 2019) the concept of students better than the class conflict (Efendi et al., 2017). Reviewing students' learning motivation, (Kusuma et al., 2014) explained in his research that the ECIRR learning model can improve students' motivation (Ramadhani et al., 2019) and learning outcomes (Kusuma et al., 2014).

### Conclusion

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that (a) There is an influence of the ECIRR learning model on mathematical reasoning abilities. Students who are treated with learning using the ECIRR learning model have better mathematical reasoning abilities compared to students who are treated with conventional learning; (b) There is an influence of student learning motivation on mathematical reasoning abilities. Mathematical reasoning ability of students who have high learning motivation is better than students who have moderate or low learning motivation. Students who have learning motivation who are getting mathematical reasoning skills as well as students who have low learning motivation; (c) There is no interaction between the treatment of learning models and categories of student motivation for mathematical reasoning abilities.

Based on the conclusions of this study, some suggestions can be made so that the teacher can apply the ECIRR learning model and for further researchers to examine the effect of the ECIRR learning model on other subjects to improve students' mathematical reasoning abilities, or be expected to be able to see how other mathematical abilities possessed students by applying the use of the ECIRR learning model.

## References

- Abdurrahman, A., Nurulsari, N., Maulina, H., Rahman, B., Umam, R., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2019). Multi-level scaffolding: A novel approach of physics teacher development program for promoting content knowledge mastery. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(8), 71–89.
- Ahid, N., Hidayah, N., Maskur, R., & Purnama, S. (2020). Evaluation of Curriculum 2013 with Context Input Process Product Model in Schools of Kediri, Indonesia, *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(7), 1573–1582.
- Anita, I. W. (2015). Pengaruh motivasi belajar ditinjau dari jenis kelamin terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis mahasiswa [The effect of learning motivation in terms of gender on students' mathematical critical thinking abilities]. *Scientific Journal Siliwangi STKIP / Jurnal Ilmiah UPT P2M STKIP Siliwangi*, 2(2), 246–251.
- Apriyani, R. (2017). *Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR (elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce) terhadap kemampuan berpikir reflektif matematis siswa* [The influence of ECIRR learning models (elicit, confront, identify, resolve, reinforce) on students' mathematical reflective thinking skills] [Bachelor thesis, FITK UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta].
- Arifin Handoyo, N., & Arifin, Z. (2016). Pengaruh inquiry learning dan problem based learning terhadap hasil belajar PKKR ditinjau dari motivasi belajar [The effect of inquiry learning and problem based learning on PKKR learning outcomes in terms of learning motivation]. *Journal of Vocational Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 6(1), 31–42.
- Astamega, S. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR berbantuan information and communication technology (ICT) terhadap prestasi belajar fisika siswa kelas X MIPA [The influence of ECIRR learning models assisted by information and communication technology (ICT) on the physics learning achievement of students of Class X MIPA]. *SKRIPSI Physics Department - Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences UM / SKRIPSI Jurusan Fisika - Fakultas MIPA UM*, 13(1), 1–18.
- Badrun, & Hartono. (2013). Keefektifan metode pembelajaran kooperatif model stad ditinjau dari prestasi dan motivasi belajar siswa di kelas VIII SMP [The effectiveness of the cooperative learning method stad model in terms of student achievement and motivation in class VIII SMP]. *PYTHAGORAS: Journal of Mathematics Education/ PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 120–134.
- Badu Kusuma, A., & Utami, A. (2017). Penggunaan program geogebra dan casyopee dalam pembelajaran geometri ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa [The use of geogebra and casyopee programs in learning geometry in terms of student motivation]. *Mercumatika Journal/ Jurnal Mercumatika*, 1(2), 119–131.
- Diani, R., Herliantari, H., Irwandani, I., Saregar, A., & Umam, R. (2019). The Effectiveness of SSCS Learning Model: Its impact on the students' creative problem-solving ability on the concept of substance pressure. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1), 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jpfa.v9n1.p%25p>
- Diani, R., Irwandani, I., Al-Hijrah, A.-H., Yetri, Y., Fujiani, D., Hartati, N. S., & Umam, R. (2019). Physics learning through active learning based interactive conceptual instructions (ALBICI) to improve critical thinking ability. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research and Learning/ Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(1), 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v5i1.3469>
- Effendi, M., Muhandjito, & Supriono. K. H. (2017). Pengaruh model pembelajaran ECIRR terhadap penguasaan konsep fisika pada siswa SMK [The influence of ECIRR learning models on the mastery of physics concepts in vocational students]. *Journal of Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 4(3), 113–121.
- Farhan, M., & Retnawati, H. (2014). Keefektifan PBL dan IBL ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan representasi matematis, dan motivasi belajar [The effectiveness of PBL and IBL in terms of learning achievement, mathematical representation ability, and learning motivation]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research / Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 227–240.
- Ghofuri, A. M., Sanusi, & Krisdiana, I. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Multimedia Menggunakan Power Point Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (Problem Based Learning) Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar Siswa. *JIPM: Scientific Journal of Mathematics Education/ JIPM: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Habibi, B., Hartinah, S., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, F., Abdurrahman, A., & Jauhariyah, D. (2019). Factor determinants of teacher professionalism as development of student learning education at school of SMK PGRI in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Hartinah, S., Suherman, S., Syazali, M., Efendi, H., Junaidi, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., & Umam, R. (2019). Probing-prompting based on ethnomathematics learning model: The effect on mathematical communication skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(December), 799–814.
- Huda, S., Anggraini, L., Saputri, R., Syazali, M., Umam, R., Islam, U., & Radenintan, N. (2019). Learning model to improve



- the ability to understand mathematical concepts. *PRISMA*, 8(2), 173–181.
- Huda, S., Kharisma, H. N., Qoma, I., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2020). How Mathematical Reasoning Abilities can be improved? : A Study Case at Islamic Boarding School. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics/ Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 3(1), 1–6.
- Indriani, L. F. (2018). Kemampuan penalaran matematis dan habits of mind siswa smp dalam materi segiempat dan segitiga[Mathematical reasoning abilities and habits of mind of junior high school students in terms of rectangles and triangles]. *Journal of Math Educator Nusantara / Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara*, 4(2), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jmen.v4i2.11999>
- Kusuma, A. P. (2017). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) ditinjau dari Kemampuan Spasial Siswa[Implementation of the Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) and Team Assisted Individualization (TAI) Learning Model in terms of the Spatial Ability of Students]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education / Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v8i2.1586>
- Kusuma, N. M. Y., Wiarta, I. W., & Abadi, I. B. G. S. (2014). Pengaruh model pembelajaran elicit confront identify resolve reinforce (ECIRR) berbantuan media audiovisual terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas IV SD gugus singakerta tahun ajaran 2013/2014[The influence of elicit confront identify learning model resolving reinforce (ECIRR) assisted by audiovisual media on the mathematics learning outcomes of fourth grade elementary school students in the academic group 2013/2014]. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Lestari, F., Saryantono, B., Syazali, M., Saregar, A., Jauhariyah, D., & Umam, R. (2019). Cooperative learning application with the method of network tree concept map : Based on Japanese learning system approach. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.471466>
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran IPA dengan pendekatan saintifik menggunakan model learning cycle dan discovery learning ditinjau dari aktivitas dan motivasi belajar siswa terhadap prestasi belajar[Science learning with a scientific approach using the learning cycle model and discovery learning in terms of student learning activities and motivation towards learning achievement]. *Journal of Physical and Scientific Education/ Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Keilmuan (JPFK)*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Meriyana, Tandiayuk, M. B., & Paloloang, B. (2016). Profil berpikir siswa kelas viii smp dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita aljabar berpandu padataksonomi solo ditinjau dari tingkat motivasi belajar matematik[The profile of students thinking in the junior high school in solving solo algebraic story questions in the context of solo economics in terms of the level of mathematical learning motivation]. *Axiom: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Aksioma : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Munifah, M., Huda, S., Hamida, U. D., Subandi, Syazali, M., & Umam, R. (2019). The use of management strategies to attract the public ' s interest in pesantren: A new model for Pesantren dynamics study. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(8), 363–383.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to manage numerical abilities in algebra material?, *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education/Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management system of education: conceptual similarity ( Integration ) between Japanese learning system and Islamic learning system in Indonesia. *Tadris Journal of Teacher Training and Tarbiyah Science /Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 4(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i2.4893>
- Nopitasari, D. (2016). Pengaruh Model pembelajaran creative problem solving (cps) terhadap kemampuan penalaran adaptif matematis siswa[Creative problem solving (cps) learning model on students' mathematical adaptive reasoning abilities]. *MaTHLINE: Journal of Mathematics and Mathematics Education/MaTHLINE: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.31943/mathline.v1i2.22>
- Prastowo, R., Huda, S., Umam, R., Jermisittiparsert, K., Prasetyo, A. E., Tortop, H. S., & Syazali, M. (2019). Academic achievement and conceptual understanding of electrodynamics: applications geoelectric using cooperative learning model. *Al-Biruni Physics Scientific Journal of Education/ Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 8(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v0i0.4614>
- Putri Wulandari, Mujib, F. G. P. (2016). Pengaruh model pembelajaran investigasi kelompok berbantuan perangkat lunak maple terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis[The influence of learning models on maple-assisted group investigation on mathematical problem-solving abilities]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.134>
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the effectiveness of learning in the use of learning modules

- against student learning outcomes. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics/ Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem based learning , its usability and critical view as educational learning tools. *Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity*, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The effect of flipped-problem based learning model integrated with lms-google classroom for senior high school students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*, 7(2), 137–158. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.548350>
- Riyanto, B., & Siroj, R. A. (2014). Meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran dan prestasi matematika dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme pada siswa sekolah menengah atas[Improve reasoning abilities and mathematics achievement with constructivism approach to high school students]. *Journal of Mathematics Education/ Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 111–128.
- Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The effectiveness of stem-based on gender differences: the impact of physics concept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 753–763. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.3.753>
- Sari, S. (2014). Pengaruh pendekatan pembelajaran berbasis masalah terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika siswa kelas VIII SMP Negeri 1 Padang tahun pelajaran 2013/2014[The effect of the problem-based learning approach on the mathematical problem solving ability of students of class VIII of SMP Negeri 1 Padang in the academic year 2013/2014]. *Journal of Mathematics Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2), 65–71.
- Setiawan, A. (2016). Hubungan kausal penalaran matematis terhadap prestasi belajar matematika pada materi bangun ruang sisi datar ditinjau dari motivasi belajar matematika siswa[The causal relationship of mathematical reasoning to mathematics learning achievement in flat side space building material in terms of students' mathematics learning motivation]. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 91–100.
- Silaban, S. S., Suhandi, A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2017). Aplikasi media simulasi virtual pada model pembelajaran ecirr untuk meremediasi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi perubahan wujud zat [Application of virtual simulation media on the ecirr learning model to remediate student misconceptions on material changes in substance]. *SNFA Proceedings (National Seminar of Physics and Its Applications) / Prosiding SNFA (Seminar Nasional Fisika dan Aplikasinya)*, 2(0), 201–213. <https://doi.org/10.20961/prosidingsnfa.v2i0.16396>
- Sriwidiarti, D. (2016). Keefektifan metode penemuan terbimbing dan metode pemberian tugas pada pembelajaran bangun ruang sisi lengkung[The effectiveness of the guided discovery method and the assignment method in learning to build curved side space]. *Journal of Mathematics and Science Education / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, 4(1), 63–74.
- Sumarni, S., Ramadhani, R., Sazaki, Y., Astika, R., Andika, W., & Prasetyo, A. (2019). Development of "Child Friendly ICT" Textbooks to Improve Professional Competence of Teacher Candidates : A Case Study of Early Childhood Education Program Students. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(3), 643–658. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.596095>
- Sumartini, T. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah[Improving students' mathematical reasoning abilities through problem based learning]. *Mosharafa: Journal of Mathematics Education/ Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 1–10.
- Supriadi, N., Syazali, M., Lestari, B. D., Dewi, E. S., Utami, L. F., Afriansyah, L., & Putra, F. G. (2018). The utilization of project based learning and guided discovery learning: Effective methods to improve students' mathematics ability. *Al-Ta'lim Journal*, 25(3), 262–271.
- Wardani, D. T. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran kooperatif tipe stad (student teams achievement divisions) dan jigsaw terhadap prestasi belajar ekonomi ditinjau dari motivasi belajar siswa tahun ajaran 2014/2015[The effect of cooperative learning type STAD (student teams achievement divisions) and jigsaw against economic learning achievement judging from student learning motivation for 2014/2015 Academic Year]. *Equilibrium*, 3(2), 105–112.
- Yasin, M., Nasiroh, N., Fadila, A., Hartinah, S., & Novalia, N. (2020). Mathematical reasoning abilities: The Impact of Novick's Learning and Somatic, Auditory, Visual, Intellectual Learning Styles. *Decimal: Journal of Mathematics/ Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 3(1), 83–88. <https://doi.org/10.24042/djm.v3i1.4907>.
- Zahara, S. (2014). Peningkatan kemampuan penalaran logis dan komunikasi matematis melalui model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe think pair share (TPS) di SMP Negeri 24 Medan[Improving logical reasoning and mathematical communication capabilities through think pair share (TPS) cooperative learning models in SMP Negeri 24 Medan]. *Journal of Mathematics Education Paradikma / Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Paradikma*, 7(3), 1–9.

Your manuscript ID#2001160411 has been received Kotak Masuk x



**European Journal of Educational Research** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

Kam, 16 Jan 11.20 ★ ↶ ⋮

🌐 Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#) x

Dear Dr. Agus Pahrudin

This mail has been sent automatically by the system.

Your manuscript entitled "The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation" (ID#2001160411) has been submitted successfully.

The link of your manuscript : [https://eu-jer.com/aa/lib/elfinder/files/2001160411/EUJER\\_ID\\_2001160411.docx](https://eu-jer.com/aa/lib/elfinder/files/2001160411/EUJER_ID_2001160411.docx)

We will inform you about the developments of your paper. Thank you for your interest to our journal.

Best regards.

Editorial Office, European Journal of Educational Research  
[www.eu-jer.com](http://www.eu-jer.com)  
[editor@eu-jer.com](mailto:editor@eu-jer.com)

Completed the preliminary review the manuscript ID#2001160411 Kotak Masuk x



**European Journal of Educational Research** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

Sab, 18 Jan 17.30 ★ ↶ ⋮

🌐 Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#) x

Dear Dr. Agus Pahrudin,

We have completed the preliminary review for your manuscript entitled "The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation" (Manuscript ID#2001160411). It is suitable for our journal's scope. We have sent your paper to the referees to evaluate.

PS. Your paper has passed the test of plagiarism. You can see the plagiarism report of your paper at this link:

[https://drive.google.com/file/d/1m1iy\\_EgZ6n0hcSU0o3\\_WKcjNPxlwSkaF/view?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1m1iy_EgZ6n0hcSU0o3_WKcjNPxlwSkaF/view?usp=sharing)

We will inform you about the result, when we get the reports from referees.

Thank you for your interest to our journal  
Best regards,

Ahmet C. Savas, Ph.D.  
Editor-in-Chief, European Journal of Educational Research  
[editor@eu-jer.com](mailto:editor@eu-jer.com)  
[www.eu-jer.com](http://www.eu-jer.com)

Additional corrections for the manuscript EU-JER ID#2001160411 Kotak Masuk x



**Editor -European Journal of Educational Research (EU-JER)** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

📧 Sel, 10 Mar 13.41 ★ ↶ ⋮

🌐 Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#) x

Dear Dr Pahrudin,

Please find the attached additional corrections:

Please also do these.

We are looking forward to getting your finalized paper.

Best regards.

Ahmet Savas, Ph.D.  
Editor-in-Chief, European Journal of Educational Research  
[editor@eu-jer.com](mailto:editor@eu-jer.com)  
[www.eu-jer.com](http://www.eu-jer.com)

2 Lampiran



Dear Dr. Pahrudin,

Please see the attached galley proof of your paper entitled "The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation" (ID#2001090146) (word file). Wrong parts have been highlighted in yellow in this finalized paper.

By the way,

- 1- We couldn't use non English characters as our journal policy.
- 2- Please edit all references regarding with attached citation guide for APA 7 style. (Please see the citation guide page in our web site: <https://www.eu-jer.com/citation-guide>)
- 3- The language of the paper should be edited by a native speaker as a proofreading lastly.
- 4- Provide English translation of the non English title in square brackets (if there is):

Eg.

Veziroglu, M., & Gonen, M. (2012). Resimli çocuk kitaplarının MEB Okul Öncesi Eğitim Programı'ndaki kazanımlara uygunluğunun incelenmesi [Review of the eligibility of picture books to the acquisition of the preschool education program of the ministry of national education]. Education and Science / Eğitim ve Bilim, 37(163), 227-237.

We ask you to check it please. Please edit at word file and resend it to me please in 2 days.

Best regards,

Ahmet Savas Ph.D.

Editor- European Journal of Educational Research

[editor@eu-jer.com](mailto:editor@eu-jer.com)

[www.eu-jer.com](http://www.eu-jer.com)

the galley proof of the Manuscript ID# 2001160411 Kotak Masuk x



**Editor -European Journal of Educational Research (EU-JER)** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

Jum, 27 Mar 18.47

Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#) x

Dear Dr. Pahrudin,

Please see the attached galley proof of your paper entitled "The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation" (ID#2001090146) (word file). Wrong parts have been highlighted in yellow in this finalized paper.

By the way,

- 1- We couldn't use non English characters as our journal policy.
- 2- Please edit all references regarding with attached citation guide for APA 7 style. (Please see the citation guide page in our web site: <https://www.eu-jer.com/citation-guide>)
- 3- The language of the paper should be edited by a native speaker as a proofreading lastly.
- 4- Provide English translation of the non English title in square brackets (if there is):



**Editor -European Journal of Educational Research (EU-JER)** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

Sen, 30 Mar 17.47

Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#) x

Dear Dr. Pahrudin,

Thank you for your email. We have updated your paper. We have corrected a few references and citations.

Please find the attached finalized paper will be published.

We will publish it as online first soon.

Best regards,

Ahmet Savas Ph.D.

Editor- European Journal of Educational Research

[editor@eu-jer.com](mailto:editor@eu-jer.com)

\*\*\*

## 2 Lampiran



## Acceptance Letter for the Manuscript ID# 2001160411 Kotak Masuk x



**Editor - European Journal of Educational Research (EU-JER)** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

Sab, 21 Mar 19.38 ★ ↩ ⋮

🇬🇧 Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#) x

Dear Dr. Agus Pahrudin,

Congratulation! After a thorough double-blind review, I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript (EU-JER\_ Manuscript ID# 2001160411) entitled "The Effect of the ECIRR Learning Model on Mathematical Reasoning Ability in terms of Student Learning Motivation" has been accepted. It is scheduled for publication in the Volume 9 Issue 2 of the "European Journal of Educational Research".

you can pay via our online payment system with your credit card (See <http://eu-jer.com/pay> ).

After payment, we will send the gallery proof of your paper. The galley proofs must be returned to us within 2 calendar days. Furthermore, you are responsible for any error in the published paper due to your oversight.

Thank you very much for submitting your article to the journal of "European Journal of Educational Research". We welcome your contributions in future.

Best regards.

Ahmet C. Savas Ph.D.  
Editor, European Journal of Educational Research  
<http://www.eu-jer.com>  
[editor@eu-jer.com](mailto:editor@eu-jer.com)

## Congratulations! Your paper has just been published! Kotak Masuk x



**Editor - European Journal of Educational Research** <editor@eu-jer.com>  
kepada saya ▾

Rab, 15 Apr 19.21 ★ ↩ ⋮

Dear Dr. Agus Pahrudin,

Congratulations! Your paper has just been published in our new issue (Vol.9, Iss.2; April- 2020):  
<https://www.eu-jer.com/volume-9-issue-2-april-2020>

The link of your article's web page is:  
<https://www.eu-jer.com/the-effects-of-the-ecirr-learning-model-on-mathematical-reasoning-ability-in-the-curriculum-perspective-2013-integration-on-student-learning-motivation>

The doi number of your article:  
[www.doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.2.675](http://www.doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.2.675)

You can download your article's full text pdf from this link:  
[www.eu-jer.com/EU-JER\\_9\\_2\\_675.pdf](http://www.eu-jer.com/EU-JER_9_2_675.pdf)

By the way, to be appearing in SCOPUS and ERIC database for your article takes several weeks. Because these databases automatically index all articles at certain times of the year.

We have published the valuable articles from 17 countries (Brunei, China, Czech Republic, Estonia, Indonesia, Israel, Japan, Jordan, Malaysia, Morocco, Netherlands, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Turkey, Ukraine, USA, Vietnam).